



CRM-M-20846-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

101

CRM-M-20846-2025

Date of Decision: 25.02.2026

PARGAT SINGH @ GORA**...PETITIONER****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB****...RESPONDENT****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL.**

Present: Mr.Sumit Dua, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Adesh Pal Singh, AAG, Punjab

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS with a prayer for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 104 dated 24.09.2024 under Section 21 of NDPS Act (Section 29 of NDPS Act added later on) registered at Police Station Division No.3, Jalandhar.
2. The case of the prosecution is that recovery of 300 grams of Heroin was effected from the conscious possession of the co-accused namely Arun Kumar @ Ampal. He made a disclosure statement that he had purchased the recovered contraband from the present petitioner.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was not named in the FIR and has been implicated solely on the basis of the disclosure statement made by the co-accused, which is inadmissible in evidence. It is further contended that no recovery has been effected from the possession of the petitioner and except for the disclosure statement, there is no material available on record against the petitioner to connect him with the alleged offence. The petitioner is willing and ready to join the investigation.

**CRM-M-20846-2025****2**

4. On the other hand, ld. State counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner is involved in one more case in FIR No. 334 dated 26.10.2023 under Sections 21/B-29/61/85 under NDPS Act registered at Police Station ANTF, Sector-79, SAS Nagar.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and have perused the record.

7. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, particularly the involvement of the petitioner in similar offence under the NDPS Act, which reflects criminal antecedents and indicates that the petitioner is a habitual offender, this Court does not find any ground to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

25.02.2026

*renu***(H.S.GREWAL)**
JUDGEWhether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No