



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

149 (2)

CRM-M-19628-2026

Date of decision :27.04.2026

RUGHVEER SINGH AND ANOTHER

..... PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

..... RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA PARTAP SINGH

Present : Mr. Nitesh Jhajhria, Advocate &
Mr. Akshay Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Eklavya Darshi, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. A.P. Chaudhary, Advocate for
Mr. Paras Jagga, Advocate
for the complainant.

SURYA PARTAP SINGH. J.(Oral)

1. This petition for pre-arrest bail is the first petition filed by the petitioners under Section 482 of 'the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023'. It has been filed with regard to a case arising out of FIR No.20 dated 02.03.2026, for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 329(3), 351(3), 62 and 190 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and G.D. No.30 dated 12.03.2026, under Sections 127(2), 127(7) and 331(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, Police Station Sadar Abohar, District Fazilka.

2. Vide order dated 09.04.2026, the petitioners were admitted to interim anticipatory bail, subject to the condition of furnishing

bail/suretybonds to the satisfaction of arresting officer. It was also directed that the petitioners shall join the investigation.

3. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that in compliance with order dated 09.04.2026, the petitioners have joined the investigation. It has also been contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that the matter was referred to Mediator and before the Mediator, parties have arrived to an amicable settlement, which has already been reduced into writing.

4. Learned counsel for the complainant has conceded that the parties have arrived at a compromise.

5. The learned State Counsel, on the instructions of SI Ramandeep Singh, has submitted that the petitioners have joined the investigation, and that for further investigation of this case their custodial interrogation is not required.

6. Keeping in view the aforesaid submissions and the fact that the petitioners have already joined the investigation, it is hereby ordered that the petitioners are entitled for anticipatory bail. Hence, the present petition is hereby allowed and the order order dated 09.04.2026, whereby the petitioners were accorded the benefit of interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute.

(SURYA PARTAP SINGH)

JUDGE

27.04.2026

<i>vipin</i>	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether Reportable	:	No