



229

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR-1351-2012

Date of Decision: 23.04.2026

Jaseem Ahmed @ Munishi

...Petitioner

vs.

State of U.T., Chandigarh

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Ravinder Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Vij, Addl. P.P. for U.T., Chandigarh with
ASI Rohtash.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel
submit that the petitioner has expired and the present petition has been rendered
infructuous.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

Pending application, if any, stands also disposed of.

**(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE**

23.04.2026

hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No