



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

212

CRM-M-19641-2026 (O&M)

Date of decision: 22.04.2026

Navjit Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Abhishek Narang, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Jasjit Singh, DAG Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.122 dated 12.10.2019, registered under Sections 420 & 120-B IPC, at Police Station Cantt. Bathinda, District Bathinda.

2. On 09.04.2026, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel submits that the petitioner remained the Director of the Sarv Agro India Ltd. only for 18 days from November, 2013 to December, 2013, whereafter he resigned for which reference is made to record of ROC dated 15.01.2026, Annexure P2. No allurement was made by him to any person for investing in the company which was dealing with mushroom farming. There is no agreement with regard to the same also that has surfaced. He was declared as proclaimed offender, the order of which was set aside by this Court on 22.12.2025, thereafter, he filed an anticipatory bail application before the trial Court which was dismissed, however, in another FIR No.217 of 2019 registered along with the present case with same allegations, he has been granted anticipatory bail by Hon’ble the Supreme Court vide order dated 18.07.2025 by observing that his custodial interrogation not required. He is involved in one more case of similar nature, wherein he is on regular bail. He is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 16.04.2026. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 22.04.2026. ”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.
4. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Diwan Singh affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.
5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 09.04.2026 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) BNSS.
6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

22.04.2026
M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No