2026:PHHC:051012

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

223

CRR-1204-2012 (O&M)
Date of decision: 02.04.2026

Manjinder Singh and another **...Petitioners**

Versus

State of Punjab **...Respondent**

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present:- Mr. H. S. Batth, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Sakshi Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J. *(Oral)*

1. The present revision petition has been filed against the judgment of conviction and order on quantum of sentence, both dated 08.05.2008, passed by the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Amritsar in case titled as ***State vs. Manjinder Singh and others***, arising out of FIR No. 3/2000, registered under Sections 379, 411, 467, 471 and 420 of IPC at Police Station Kotwali, District Amritsar, whereby the petitioners were held guilty for commission of offences punishable under Sections 411, 420, 467 and 471 of IPC and were sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for maximum 03 years with default clauses of fine; as well as against the judgment dated 03.04.2012, whereby the appeal filed by the petitioners was dismissed.

2. Today, learned counsel for the petitioners has made a statement so as not to press the present revision against the judgment of conviction, passed by the learned trial Court, as well as the judgment passed by the appellate Court. Learned counsel confines his prayer against the order of sentence only. It is

MOHAMMAD WASEEM ANSARI
2026.04.02 17:46
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

further submitted that petitioners have already undergone substantive portion of their sentence and leading their life happily, hence, their sentence may be reduced to the period already undergone by them.

3. Learned State Counsel has no serious objection to the aforesaid prayer. She has filed custody certificate, as per which, the petitioners have already undergone actual sentence of more than 01 year and 05 months out of total sentence of 03 years as awarded by the learned trial Court and upheld by the appellate Court.

4. After hearing the counsel for the parties, I uphold the judgments of conviction passed by the Courts below as the same are based on appreciation of prosecution evidence, proving guilt of the petitioners, however, considering the fact that the petitioners have faced the agony of protracted trial and they have already undergone substantive portion of their sentence, the order on quantum of sentence dated 08.05.2008 is modified to the extent that the same is reduced to the period already undergone by them. However, the order qua deposit of fine imposed upon the petitioners is upheld.

5. Their personal/surety bonds be discharged accordingly.

6. Since the main petition stands disposed of, pending application, if any, shall also be treated as disposed of.

02.04.2026 **(MANISHA BATRA)**
Waseem Ansari **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*

MOHAMMAD WASEEM ANSARI
2026.04.02 17:46
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document