



**145 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-4137-2026

Date of decision : 10.04.2026

Preeti and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Ms. Kirandeep Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, which is endangered at the hands of respondents No.4 and 5, as the petitioners are living in live-in relationship against the wishes of private respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioner No.1 is major and unmarried while petitioner No.2 is married and has a son out of that wedlock and both the petitioners want to get married with each other. She further submits that due to legal impediment and private respondents 4 and 5 being against their marriage, petitioners started living in live-in-relationship with each other and have sought protection of their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from the private respondents. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 05.04.2026 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.2.

3. Notice of motion to respondents no.1 to 3-State only.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Raj Karan Singh, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State.



5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2 i.e. Commissioner of Police, Amritsar to decide the representation dated 05.04.2026 (Annexure P-3) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of their live-in relationship.

6. Disposed of.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

10.04.2026

sonia

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No