



122

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-11019-2026

Date of decision: 10.04.2026

HINDUJA LEYLAND FINANCE COMPANY LIMITED

...Petitioner

VERSUS

**M/S RATTAN POWER PRESSES PVT. LTD. THROUGH ITS
DIRECTORS AND OTHERS**

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR**

Present: Mr. D.K. Singal, Advocate for
for the petitioner.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

1. This writ petition has been filed *inter alia* for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ludhiana to decide the application, Annexure P-2, filed by petitioner under Section 14 of the Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 (for short, 'the SARFAESI Act').

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that respondents No.1 to 3 had taken loan facilities from the petitioner's finance company and had mortgaged immovable property. Counsel asserts that due to continuous default in repayment, loan account was classified as NPA on 30.09.2023. A notice under Section 13(2) of the SARFAESI Act was issued on 14.08.2024, followed by notice under Section 13(4) of the SARFAESI Act dated 13.02.2025, whereafter symbolic possession of the secured



asset was taken. Counsel states that petitioner moved an application (Annexure P-2) under Section 14 of SARFAESI Act before the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ludhiana in May, 2025, which is still pending. Counsel has made a reference to the statutory provisions and has urged that the application has to be decided within the time frame provided in the statute.

3. Heard counsel for the petitioner.
4. In view the observations of this Court in *Bank of Maharashtra Vs. District Magistrate, Hisar and others* 2024 (2) PLR 346 as well as in *IIFL Home Finance Limited Vs. State of Haryana and others*, Law Finder Doc Id #2875322, writ petition is disposed of with a direction to Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ludhiana, to decide the application (Annexure P-2) as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of 60 days from the date of communication of copy of this order, unless there is any legal impediment in doing so.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

10.04.2026
Priyanka Thakur

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No