CRM-M-20048-2026 (O & M)

(223)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-20048-2026 (O & M)
Date of Decision: 21.04.2026

Boota Singh @ Buta Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Amit Kumar Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Toor, AAG, Punjab.

****

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.

The prayer in the present petition under Section 483 BNSS is for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.0089 dated 22.08.2025 under Sections 109, 3(5), 118(1), 118(2) of BNS, 2023 and Section 238 of BNS, 2023 added later on (corresponding Sections 307, 349, 324, 326 and 201 of IPC) registered at Police Station Meharban, District Police Commissionerate Ludhiana.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Taking the prosecution case to be correct, injuries No.2 and 3 have been attributed to the petitioner which are simple in nature. Grievous injuries i.e. injury No.1 and injury No.4 have been attributed to Avtar Heer and Anoop Heer. As the petitioner is in custody since 23.10.2025 but none of the 13 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far, the Trial of the present case is not likely

VISHAL SETHI
2026.04.22 10:58
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

to be concluded anytime soon and therefore, he is entitled to the concession of bail.

4. The learned counsel for the State, on the other hand, contends that the petitioner along with his co-accused have brutally assaulted the injured and therefore, the petitioner is not entitled to the concession as prayed for. He, however, concedes that the petitioner is in custody since 23.10.2025, that none of the 13 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far and that the injury has been attributed to the petitioner is simple in nature.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

6. The veracity of the prosecution case against the petitioner and his co-accused shall be adjudicated upon during the course of the Trial. Admittedly, the petitioner is in custody since 23.10.2025 but none of the 13 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far. Therefore, the Trial in the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. In this situation, the further incarceration of the petitioner is not required.

7. Thus without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner-Boota Singh @ Buta Singh is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

8. The petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

April 21, 2026
vishal

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable :- Yes/No

VISHAL SETHI
2026.04.22 10:58
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document