



CRM-M-21383-2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

215

CRM-M-21383-2026
Decided on : 24.04.2026

Bablu @ Sandeep

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : Mr. Sahil Choudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).


Mr. Amish Sharma, AAG Haryana

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Bablu @ Sandep, aged 26 years	180	11.05.2025	21(b) and 27-A of NDPS Act	City Fatehabad	Fatehabad, Haryana

2. As per case of the prosecution, on the basis of secret information, co-accused Kashmiro was apprehended with Heroin weighing 30 grams 57 miligrams. Subsequent to the arrest of co-accused Kashmiro, through her disclosure statement, name of the petitioner was also involved in the case, who is none other than his



CRM-M-21383-2026

son.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that petitioner has been involved in a false case and recovery of Heroin from his mother is much less to the threshold of 250 grams i.e. maximum of non-commercial quantity. The petitioner is inside jail since 24.01.2026, thus, submits that arrest of the petitioner is highly belated and chances of his involvement in the present case can not be ruled out. Moreover, nothing has been recovered from possession of the petitioner, nor any other substantial evidence is collected by the prosecution during investigation, except of his involvement in four other criminal cases registered under the provisions of the NDPS Act.

5. On the other hand, learned State counsel while opposing the prayer and submissions advanced on behalf of the petitioner, submits that considering the nature and gravity of the offence alleged against the petitioner, he is not entitled to the concession of regular bail. Accordingly, he prays for dismissal of present petition.

6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.

7. Though period inside jail is very less, however, taking into consideration that no other evidence is cited by State and petitioner's involvement is only on the basis of disclosure statement of his co-accused (her mother), this Court deems it appropriate to grant concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

8. Considering the aspects and circumstances, prayer made in the present petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief

Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required



CRM-M-21383-2026

in any other case.

9. Any of the discussion done and recorded here above, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case. Therefore, trial Court is expected to decide the case by taking an independent view, on the basis of evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible in accordance with law.

10. It is further made clear that if in future petitioner is found indulged in similar kind of activities, prosecution would be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail in the present case.

11. Petition stands disposed of.

12. Pending application(s), if any, shall stands disposed of accordingly.

April 24, 2026

reena

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**