



CWP-11198-2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-11198-2026

Date of decision:-16.04.2026

Devinderpal Kaur and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL
HON' BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURIPresent :- Mr. P.S. Mirpur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Akhil Kamra, AAG, Punjab.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the respondents have erroneously denied the regular pay scale to the petitioners. He also submits that similar issue is pending adjudication before the Supreme Court in SLP (Civil) No.14124-14125-2012 and SLP (Civil) bearing Diary No.44844-2023.

2. Issue notice to the respondents.

3. On asking of Court, Mr. Akhil Kamra, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents and submits that the writ petitions referred by other employees seeking the regular pay scale including ***CWP-17064-2017***, titled as ***“Ajay Kumar Singla and others Vs. State of Punjab and others”***, were allowed by the Division Bench of this Court on 16.02.2023. Thereafter, another writ petition bearing ***CWP-22232-2020*** titled as ***“Ankush Goyal and another Vs. State of***



CWP-11198-2026

Punjab and others”, was also disposed of in same terms as the judgment in *Ajay Kumar Singla’s case (supra)*. The State of Punjab had preferred SLP (Civil) bearing Diary No.44844-2023 against the judgment of this Court in case of *Ankush Goyal (supra)* and the Supreme Court had issued notice and stayed the implementation of the impugned judgment. The order of the Supreme Court dated 08.12.2023 is reproduced hereunder:-

“1.Delay condoned.

2. Issue notice and tag with SLP (Civil) Nos.14124-14125/2012.

3. Till further orders, operation and implementation of the impugned judgment shall remain stayed.”

4. Heard.

5. In view of the above, we dispose of this petition with a direction that the parties shall abide by the outcome of the SLP in the Supreme Court and the case of the petitioners would also be considered in the light of the judgment of the Supreme Court.

6. The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

16.04.2026
Brij

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No