



petitioner is a resident of State of Orissa and investigation in the present case is still ongoing.

He further submits that substantial material against the petitioner is available with the prosecution, as the mobile phone recovered from him contains evidence regarding sending photographs of *ganja* to co-accused Sumit Dhaka. In addition, bank transactions amounting to Rs.70,000/- in favour of Sumit Dhaka and Rs.90,000/- in favour of accused Gurdev Singh @ Jony are also stated to be there on record.

5. This Court has heard the submissions addressed by learned counsel for the parties and has perused the record available before it.

6. Although no contraband was recovered from the petitioner and his involvement in the present case has surfaced primarily on the basis of disclosure statement made by the co-accused, yet, considering the fact that petitioner is a resident of the State of Orissa and investigation is still in progress, this Court is not inclined to entertain the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, at this stage.

7. Accordingly, present petition stands disposed of, at this stage, with liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh petition seeking regular bail after completion of the investigation, submission of *challan*, and framing of charges.

13.05.2026

*Lavisha*

(SANJAY VASHISTH)  
JUDGE

|                                  |               |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| <i>Whether reportable</i>        | <i>Yes/No</i> |