



CRM-M-20073-2026 (O&M)

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

227

**CRM-M-20073-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 21.04.2026**

JASKARAN SINGH ALIAS JASS**... PETITIONER**

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB**... RESPONDENT****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present:- Mr. Sarfaraz Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)

1. This petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking regular bail under Section 483 BNSS, 2023 (439 of Cr.P.C), in case bearing FIR No.99 dated 17.10.2024 under Section 21, 29,61-85 of NDPS Act & 238 of BNS 2023/(201 IPC, 1860), Sections (29,61-85 of NDPS Act 1985 and 238 OF BNS, 2023/(201 added lateron), IPC, 1860) registered Police Station Ramdas were at District Amritsar.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner, along with his co-accused, was detained by the BSF authorities on 16.10.2024 after they noticed suspicious movement in the fields. Upon searching the area, the petitioner and his co-accused were apprehended, and one OPPO mobile phone

**CRM-M-20073-2026 (O&M)****-2-**

was recovered from their possession. Thereafter, 560 grams of Heroin was also recovered from the petitioner and his co-accused.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that petitioner was present in the field from where 560 grams of Heroin was recovered however no contraband was recovered from the personal possession of the petitioner. It is further submitted that apart from his own disclosure statement made while in custody, there is no other material available to connect the petitioner with the alleged offence. It is also submitted that the petitioner is not involved in any other case and is in custody since 17.10.2024. Hence, prayer has been made for grant of regular bail.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Rohit Hans, learned DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner. He has filed the custody certificate of the petitioner, which is taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 01 year 06 months and is involved in one more case under NDPS Act.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is in custody for the last 1 year and 6 months, and although he is involved in one more case however, in the present case there is hardly any material available to justify his further detention; and further considering that the trial is yet to commence and



CRM-M-20073-2026 (O&M)

-3-

is likely to take a considerable time to conclude, this Court deems it appropriate to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial, as continued detention would not serve the ends of justice.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

9. However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail, the State/complainant would be at liberty to seek cancellation of his bail.

10. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

21.04.2026
renu

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:		Yes/No