

2026.PHHC.036828



248/3.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-12117-2022

Date of decision: 10.03.2026

Suhani Heena

.... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Ms. Muskan, Advocate, for
Mr. Mohit Sadana, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Chawla, Senior Panel Counsel,
for respondent No.1-UOI.

Mr. Swapan Shorey, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Anil Kumar Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Mr. Satwant Singh, Legal Assistant, NHM, Punjab.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for setting aside the order dated 05.05.2022 (Annexure P-5), vide which, respondent No.3 has ordered recovery of additional amount paid to the petitioner in the light of letter dated 29.08.2018 (Annexure P-3). Further, a writ in the nature of mandamus has been sought directing the respondents No.2 and 3 not to reduce the current salary of the petitioner and to grant her the incentives/increments and other benefits by including the loyalty bonus granted by the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India, vide letter dated 29.12.2017 (Annexure P-2).

2. On the last date of hearing i.e. 05.03.2026, the following order was passed:-

“One of the grounds raised in the present petition(s) is that the recovery has been ordered against the petitioner(s) without following the principles of natural justice as neither any show cause notice was issued to the petitioner(s) nor any opportunity of personal hearing was granted.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2-NHMP in CWP-12021-2022 seeks a short adjournment to get instructions.

Adjourned to 10.03.2026.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases.”

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.3-NHM, Punjab, submits that in pursuance to the impugned order, no recovery has been effected from the petitioners, as recovery was stayed by this Court, vide order dated 30.05.2022. He further submits that the said order shall be treated as withdrawn. It is further submitted that since the recovery has been ordered against the petitioner is in violation of the principles of natural justice, therefore, before passing any further order of recovery, the petitioner shall be given an opportunity of hearing.

4. In view of the said statement, the instant petition has been rendered infructuous and disposed of as such.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

10.03.2026

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No