

2026.PHHC.036828



248/4.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-12204-2022 (O&M)

Date of decision: 10.03.2026

Dolly Singla @ Dolly Midha @ Singla

.... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Dhruv Sharma, Advocate, for
Mr. Davinder Lubana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Chawla, Senior Panel Counsel,
for respondent No.1-UOI.

Mr. Swapan Shorey, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Anil Kumar Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent No.3.

Mr. Satwant Singh, Legal Assistant, NHM, Punjab.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the order dated 26.05.2022 (Annexure P-8), vide which, respondent No.4 had issued a letter to deduct alleged excess payment of '5% Loyalty Bonus' of the petitioner since 2020 from her salary, as per impugned order dated 05.05.2022 (Annexure P-5), passed by respondent No.3. Further, a writ in the nature of mandamus has been

sought directing the respondents to protect the pay/salary of the petitioner, as she has been granted 'Experience Bonus/Loyalty Bonus' on her completion of 3 and 5 years of service as per the instructions of respondent No.1, vide letter dated 18.12.2018 (Annexure P-3), for the employees working under National Health Mission, like the petitioner, and also vide order/letter dated 29.08.2018 (Annexure P-4), issued by respondent No.3.

2. On the last date of hearing i.e. 05.03.2026, the following order was passed:-

“One of the grounds raised in the present petition(s) is that the recovery has been ordered against the petitioner(s) without following the principles of natural justice as neither any show cause notice was issued to the petitioner(s) nor any opportunity of personal hearing was granted.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2-NHMP in CWP-12021-2022 seeks a short adjournment to get instructions.

Adjourned to 10.03.2026.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases.”

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.3-NHM, Punjab, submits that in pursuance to the impugned order, no recovery has been effected from the petitioners, as recovery was stayed by this Court, vide order dated 31.05.2022. He further submits that the said order shall be treated as withdrawn. It is further submitted that since the recovery has been ordered against the petitioner is in violation of the principles of natural justice, therefore, before passing any further order of recovery, the petitioner shall be given an opportunity of hearing.

4. In view of the said statement, the instant petition has been rendered infructuous and disposed of as such.
5. Pending application, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

10.03.2026
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No