



CWP-11098-2026

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

136

CWP-11098-2026

Date of Decision: 10.04.2026

Mhendro

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. Rajesh Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner

Ms. Svaneel Jaswal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of communication (Annexure P-2) whereby her claim under Deen Dayal Upadhyay Antyodaya Parivar Suraksha Yojana has been rejected on the ground of delay.
2. The petitioner claims that her husband passed away on 07.02.2024. She vide application dated 21.06.2024 applied for financial assistance under aforesaid Yojana. The respondent has rejected her claim on the ground of delay.
3. Learned State counsel on being confronted with order dated 27.03.2026 passed by this Court in *CWP No.9253 of 2026* expressed his inability to distinguish facts and issues involved in the present petition from said order.

**CWP-11098-2026****-2-**

4. In the wake of statement of learned State counsel, the petition stands disposed of in terms of order dated 27.03.2026 passed in *CWP No.9253 of 2026*.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

10.04.2026*Mohit Kumar*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No