

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

2026.PHHC.007319



RSA-4802-2004 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-20.01.2026

(103)

MADAN [LAL@ MADAN](#) LAL JAIN @ MAI CHAND

... Appellant

Versus

SHYAM SUNDER AND OTHERS

... Respondents

-. -

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL**

Present: None for the appellants.

Mr. Gandharv Malhotra, Advocate,  
for the respondents.

\*\*\*\*\*

**VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J.** (Oral)

No one has put in appearance on behalf of the appellant. On the previous two dates also, none appeared on behalf of the appellant. Notice to the sole appellant was ordered to be issued and the same has been received back with the report that appellant is not residing in the village, so, it seems that appellant is left the address without any forwarding address, as such, it is difficult to serve the appellant and appeal is against suit for permanent injunction only, so, it seems that appellant is not interested in pursuing the appeal.

2. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the instant appeal on the docket, indefinitely.

3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to the appellant to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order, within a period of three months from today.

4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands dismissed for want of prosecution, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)  
JUDGE

20<sup>th</sup> January, 2026  
S. Pathania

Whether reasoned / speaking?  
Whether reportable?

Yes / No  
Yes / No