



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

242

CRR-911-2024**Date of decision: 13.05.2026**

LAKSHDEEP DHINGRA @ LAKSH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - Mr. Sukhmeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Alisha Soni, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Shubham Thakur, Advocate for respondent No.4.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed seeking setting aside of the order dated 27.03.2024 passed by Additional Sessions Judge, Faridkot vide which application filed by the petitioner for seeking permission to get reissue/renewal of his passport has been dismissed.

2. The present petition is fully misconceived right from the very inception. An application was moved by the petitioner before the trial Court for renewal of the Passport as well as the for permission to travel abroad.

3. Counsel for the petitioner has failed to refer to any law on the basis whereof such an application for renewal of a passport would be

maintainable before a Court of Additional Sessions Judge. The limited permission required from the Criminal Court is only to travel abroad since one of the conditions for grant of bail is that an accused would not travel out of the country without seeking such permission. The issuance of a passport and/or renewal thereof are governed by the provisions of the Passports Act. The proceedings before a trial Court for renewal of a passport were thus wholly misconceived.

4. Consequently, the prayer made in the present petition for seeking renewal of the Passport by way of a criminal revision is misconceived and the petition is **dismissed** accordingly. The petitioner may, if so advised, move an appropriate application and file an appropriate petition for seeking the said relief before a competent forum.

MAY 13, 2026
Vishal Sharma

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No