



228

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-12657-2021 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: 16.04.2026

BALKAR SINGH SAINI

.....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

...RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: None for the petitioner(s)
Ms. Mayuri Lakhanpal Kalia, DAG, Haryana.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the Impugned final result (Annexure P-6) of selection of BCB and ESM-BCB categories.

None has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner. A perusal of the order sheets depict that on the last four dates of hearing none has appeared on behalf of the petitioner. On 05.11.2024, the following order was passed :-

*‘No reply has been filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 3 to 5. One last opportunity is granted for the same.
Adjourned to 24.03.2025.’*

On 24.03.2025, the following order was passed :-

‘Learned State counsel submits that there is no necessity of filing any separate reply on behalf of respondents No.1 and 3 as he adopts the reply filed on behalf of respondent No.2.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner as well as respondents No.4 and 5 today.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 18.08.2025.'

On 18.08.2025, the following order was passed :-

'There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner(s) as well as respondents No.4 and 5.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 08.12.2025.'

On 08.12.2025, the following order was passed :-

'Learned State counsel prays for more time to file written statement on behalf of respondents No.1 and 3.

Reply on behalf of respondent No.2 is already on record.

However, reply by private respondents No.4 and 5 is still awaited and a perusal of the office report indicates that private respondents No.4 and 5 have not been served so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is not available to assist the Court

However, it is directed to the petitioner to deposit necessar Court fee for effecting service upon private respondents No.4 and 5 by das process only.

Be listed on 16.04.2026.

In the meantime, pleadings be completed.'

In view of the above circumstances, the Court is of the view that learned counsel for the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the matter. Hence, the same is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Ordered accordingly.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

16.04.2026
anuradha

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No