



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

110

CWP-11038-2026

Date of Decision: 10.04.2026

LEKH RAJ

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. Deepak Girotra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to grant entire leave encashment for three hundred days.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage, the petitioner will be satisfied in case he is permitted to make a fresh representation before the respondents raising the grievance as has been raised in this petition, and the same may be ordered to be decided within a specified period.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Amit Sahni, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/State, and submits that in case any such representation is filed by the petitioner within four weeks from today, the same will be decided by the second respondent/Director, Secondary Education, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of three months of receiving the representation.

5. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.
6. Ordered accordingly.
7. In case the order is not passed within the stipulated period, the officer concerned shall pay costs of ₹50,000 to the petitioner.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

10.04.2026
Ad

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>