



135

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10844-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 09.04.2026

Kaushalya

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Lokesh Shivansh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Svaneel Jaswal, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside remarks recorded vide Ticket No.346510D3 dated 26.03.2024 whereby her claim under Deen Dayal Upadhyay Antyodaya Parivar Suraksha Yojana has been rejected on the ground of delay.

2. The petitioner claims that her son passed away on 19.05.2023. She vide application dated 28.12.2023 applied for financial assistance under aforesaid Yojna. The respondent has rejected her claim on the ground of delay.

3. Learned State counsel on being confronted with order dated 27.03.2026 passed by this Court in **CWP No.9253 of 2026** expressed her inability to distinguish facts and issues involved in the present petition from said order.

4. In the wake of statement of learned State counsel, the petition stands ***disposed of*** in terms of order dated 27.03.2026 passed in ***CWP No.9253 of 2026.***
5. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

09.04.2026
Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No

