



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

209

CRM-M-19177-2026 (O&M)

Date of decision: 17.04.2026

Kashmir Singh @ Sunny

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Rahul Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Dr. (Ms.) Savi Nagpal, AAG Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. This first petition has been filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner(s) in case bearing FIR No.76 dated 01.05.2025, registered under Section(s) 61(2), 29, 181 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (Sections 120B, 91 and 233, 234, 235, 256, 257, 489-D of the Indian Penal Code, 1860) and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Lopoke, District Amritsar Rural.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that in the present case, there were total 06 accused including the petitioner, and other co-accused have already been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court. He contends that co-accused/Vishal and Karandeep

Singh @ UP have been granted the concession of regular bail vide order dated 29.10.2025 passed in CRM-M-49237-2025 and CRM-M-56654-2025 respectively; co-accused/Arshdeep Singh @ Arsh, from whom two pistols and 21 cartridges were recovered, has been granted the concession of regular bail vide order dated 11.02.2026 passed in CRM-M-62203-2025; and co-accused/Aashish Kumar @ Ashish Kumar @ Kalu and Jashandeep Singh @ Bando, have been granted the concession of regular bail vide order dated 18.03.2026 passed in CRM-M-64162-2025 and CRM-M-423-2026 respectively. It is contended that the case of the petitioner is at a better footing as compared to other co-accused, who have already been granted the concession of regular bail.

3. Learned State counsel does not dispute that the case of the petitioner would be at better footing as compared to other co-accused, since no weapon or live cartridges were recovered from the petitioner and only a sum of Rs.20,000/- (fake currency notes) were recovered from him, as against the other co-accused from whom live cartridges and weapons had been recovered.

4. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the facts and circumstances as noted above, including the similarly placed 05 co-accused who have already been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court, I deem it fit to allow the instant petition.

5. Accordingly, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted to regular bail subject to his furnishing bail/surety

bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Illaqa Magistrate concerned.

6. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

7. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

17.04.2026

Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No