



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 130

CWP-10790-2026
Decided on:09.04.2026

Dr. Niti Chawla

. . . Petitioner

Versus

Chaudhary Bansi Lal University and others

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

PRESENT: Mr. Sarthak Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jagbir Singh, Advocate and
Ms. Jaskirat Kaur, Advocate
for respondents no.1 and 2/University.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J.(ORAL)

The petition has been filed *inter alia* seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to regularise the petitioner's services and grant her all consequential benefits including seniority, pay fixation and arrears of salary, etc., along with interest from the date the benefits became due till realisation.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage, the petitioner will be satisfied in case she is permitted to make a fresh representation before the respondents raising the grievance as has been raised in this petition, and the same may be ordered to be decided within a specified period in view of the judgments passed by the Supreme Court in Civil Appeal nos.309 to 373 of 1992 titled *Karnataka State Private College Stop-Gap Lecturers Association v. State of Karnataka and others*; Civil Appeal no.14831



of 2024 titled *Jaggo v. Union of India and others*; and Civil Appeal no.657 of 2026 titled *Bhola Nath v. State of Jharkhand and others*, and judgment passed by this Court in CWP-26899-2025 titled *Nishi and another v. Panjab University and others*. All relevant particulars of the petitioner’s service which entitle her to the claimed benefits will be mentioned therein.

3. Notice of motion.
4. Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent no.3/State. Mr. Jagbir Singh, Advocate also accepts notice on behalf of respondents no.1 and 2 and submits that in case any such representation is filed by the petitioner within two weeks from today, the same will be decided by the respondent no.1/ Registrar, Chaudhary Bansi Lal University, by passing a speaking order thereupon in accordance with law by taking into consideration the judgments in *Karnataka State Private College Stop-Gap Lecturers Association, Jaggo, Bhola Nath and Nishi cases ibid.*, within six months of receiving the representation.
5. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.
6. Ordered accordingly.
7. In case the order is not passed within the stipulated period, the officer concerned shall pay costs of ₹50,000 to the petitioner.

09.04.2026

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

Yes/~~No~~
~~Yes~~/No