



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

211

CRR-464-2010

Date of Decision : 07.05.2026

BALDEV SINGH

.... PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB

.... ESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH CHANDER DIMRI

Present : Petitioner-Bladev Singh in person.

Ms. Mandeep Kaur, DAG, Punjab.

RAMESH CHANDER DIMRI, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner-Bladev Singh is present in person. He states that he is now more than 80 years old. Through the impugned judgment of conviction and sentence, he was convicted under Section 279 and 304-A IPC and was imposed a maximum sentence of RI for one year with a fine of Rs.500/-. Sentences imposed on him were ordered to run concurrently.

2. Upon a query, he states that he does not challenge the impugned judgment of conviction and the judgment upholding the said judgment of conviction but leniency may be shown to him in the matter of imposition of sentence. Fine, as per record, has already been paid by him. In view thereof, the said judgments are confirmed.

3. Learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab has filed a custody certificate on record. As per it, he has already undergone a period



of 08 months and 13 days out of the maximum sentence of one year. The occurrence in question took place on 13.11.2004.

4. Therefore, keeping in view the time elapsing since the date of occurrence in question and also the present age of the petitioner and further considering the fact that he has given up his challenge to the impugned judgment of conviction and the judgment upholding the said judgment of conviction, I deem it to be an appropriate case to reduce the sentence of the petitioner to the period already undergone. The impugned order of sentence, therefore, is accordingly modified. The present petition is partly allowed in the above-stated terms.

5. All interim applications stand disposed of.

(RAMESH CHANDER DIMRI)
JUDGE

07.05.2026

anju

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether Reportable	: Yes/No