



131

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-11139-2026
Date of decision: 10.04.2026**

RAVINDER MALIK AND ANOTHER ...Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR**

Present: Mr.Virender Ahlawat Advocate and
Mr. Deepak Joon, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, Addl. AG, Haryana.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

1. Through the instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners are, *inter alia*, seeking a direction to the respondents to consider the representation dated 26.08.2025 (Annexure P-6) submitted by the petitioners and to allot a residential plot under the Oustees Category Policy, as per the terms and conditions of the policy, in lieu of the land acquired from their predecessor-in-interest, late Ranbir Singh.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that land belonging to their predecessor-in-interest was acquired in the year 2012 and petitioners, being the dependents, fall within the oustee category. It has been stated that applications were invited from oustees for allotment of a plot in the year 2024, but the petitioners could not apply thereunder



and have submitted a representation (Annexure P-6), which may be sympathetically considered.

3. Advanced copy of the petition is being served upon the respondents.

4. Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, Addl. AG, Haryana, has appeared on their behalf. He states that as petitioners are oustees, they are entitled for allotment of a plot under the oustee category and their application shall be considered as and when a fresh advertisement is issued under the Rehabilitation and Resettlement Policy of the Government of Haryana.

5. In view of the statement made by the learned State counsel, present petition stands disposed of.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

10.04.2026
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No