

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2026.PHHC.056123



(113)

CRWP-4098-2026

Decided on : 10.04.2026

Date of uploading: 10.04.2026

Pooja Kumari and others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present:- Mr. J.S. Lalli, Advocate for the petitioners.

SUMEET GOEL, JUDGE (Oral)

1. The petition in hand has been preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India with the following substantive prayer(s):-

“It is, therefore, respectfully prayed that the present petition may kindly be allowed the and respondents may kindly be directed to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and their family members as they are not being allowed to live peacefully at their house because the husband of the petitioner No.1 and brother of petitioner No.2, son of petitioner No.3 namely Aman Kumar has been falsely implicated in FIR No.36 dated 03.02.2026 under Sections 194(2), 109, 324(4), 333, 351, 191(2), 190 of BNS, 2023 (Section 159, 307, 425, 452, 506, 147, 149 of IPC) and 25 of Arms Act, PS Sahnewal, District Ludhiana (Annexure P-1), in the interest of justice.

It is further respectfully prayed that respondent No.2 may kindly be directed to decide the representation dated 20.02.2026 (Annexure P-12) filed by petitioner No.1 regarding innocence of her husband on the ground that the above mentioned in FIR No.36 dated 03.02.2026 under Sections 194(2), 109, 324(4), 333, 351, 191(2), 190 of BNS, 2023 (Section 159, 307, 425, 452, 506, 147, 149 of IPC) and 25 of Arms Act, PS Sahnewal, District Ludhiana, the husband of the petitioner No.1 has been falsely implicated as he has no role in the said FIR as at the time of the incident he was at his residence which has been recorded in the CCTV cameras, in the interest of justice.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners, after arguing for sometime, has limited his prayer by stating that he would be satisfied, for the *nonce*, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 (Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana) to consider and take a conscious decision on the representation dated 20.02.2026 made by the petitioner No.1 (copy whereof has been annexed as Annexure P-12 with the petition in hand) in a time-bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the strength of advance copy, Mr. Hemant Aggarwal, DAG, Punjab causes appearance on behalf of the respondents. He has urged that he has no objection in case limited prayer made by the petitioners, for decision of the representation dated 20.02.2026 (Annexure P-12), is directed for.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the rival party(s) and upon perusal of the paper-book, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the petition in hand with the following directions:

(i) Respondent No.2 (Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana) or a Gazetted Officer assigned by him, is mandated to look into the representation (Annexure P-12) moved by the petitioner(s), objectively consider the grievance(s) raised therein & take ratiocinated decision thereupon within a period of 30 days from today. Said decision be conveyed to the petitioners within 7 days thereafter

(ii) No order as to costs.

10.04.2026
Naveen

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No