

**217 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2026.PHHC:082597



CRM-M-19285-2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 25.05.2026

RAJNEESH

... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Gors, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (439 Cr.P.C.) in FIR No. 607 dated 09.10.2025, Under Sections 316(2), 318(4) BNSS (Previous sections 406, 420 IPC) registered at Police Station Sector 32-33, District Karnal, Punjab.

2. The case of the prosecution is that petitioner had demanded Rs.15 lakhs from the complainant for getting the Masters Degree for the daughter of the complainant done and had received a sum of Rs. 9,50,000/- on the pretext of sending the daughter of the complainant abroad.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that the petitioner is in custody for the last 07 months and 05 days. He, thus, prays for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Dr. Malvika Singh, D.A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State and vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the ground of gravity of allegations. Learned State counsel has

filed the custody certificate in Court, which is taken on record. She further submits that as per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 07 months and 05 days.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. After hearing the rival contentions of the learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case that the petitioner is in custody for the last 07 months and 05 days; the investigation of the case is complete; challan has already been presented; the trial of the case is yet to commence and continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

9. Nothing discussed hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

10. It is clarified that while on bail so granted through the instant order the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal case, it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

25.05.2026

Janki

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No