



124

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-PIL-88-2026

Date of decision : 08.04.2026

Sunita Devi and another

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: Mr. Sumeet Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Gupta, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

1. The petitioners have filed this PIL raising the alleged public cause that certain encroachments were allowed by Municipal Council, Sohna, District Gurugram, and the encroachers, who are beneficiaries due to alienation of the land in their favour much more than their entitlement under the Policy for Sale of Shops/Houses of Municipal Bodies, which was notified vide notification dated 01.06.2021 (Annexure P-1).

2. The petitioners are, in fact, seeking quashment of the order dated 12.01.2024 (Annexure P-7) passed by Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Sohna, rejecting their representation dated 10.08.2022 (Annexure P-4), with some further consequential directions.

3. Since the aforesaid policy (Annexure P-1) itself provides for remedy of appeal before the Director, Urban Local Bodies, the petitioners are directed to prefer an appeal against the aforesaid order dated 12.01.2024 (Annexure P-7), which if filed within a period of 30 days, shall be considered and decided on its own merits. The Director, Urban Local Bodies, is free to decide appeal/representation of the petitioners in accordance with law and communicate the decision to them within further period of four weeks.
4. Accordingly, the petition stands disposed of.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

April 08, 2026
narotam

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No