



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

264

CRM-M-20273-2026 (O&M)

Date of decision: 05.05.2026

KULDEEP SINGH AND OTHERS

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Mr. Barjinder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Bareen Pratap Singh, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Yuvraj Sran, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Shalini Singh Nagpal, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.03 dated 23.02.2024 under Sections 498-A, 406, 420 IPC and Section 12(1)(b) of the Passport Act, 1967, Police Station NRI, District Sangrur and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 25.03.2026.
2. On 21.04.2026, this Court directed the parties to appear before learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording of their statements with regard to compromise dated 25.03.2026.
3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, parties appeared before learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Sangrur on 24.04.2026. Statement of Investigating Officer Inspector Harpinder Singh was recorded on 27.04.2026. Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Sangrur has submitted his report recording satisfaction that all the parties have entered into a valid compromise without any influence or coercion. Point-wise report as under has been submitted:



Sr. No.	Information required	Information provided
1	The number of accused arraigned in the FIR person arrayed as accused in the FIR.	There were three accused arrayed as accused namely Manjit Singh, Kuldeep Singh and Sinder Kaur in the present FIR.
2	Number of accused who have appeared before the Trial Court and made statements.	Three accused persons namely Manjit Singh, Kuldeep Singh and Sinder Kaur have appeared and made their statements before the Trial Court.
3	Whether during investigation, any additional accused has been added and he/she is a party to the compromise.	No, any additional accused has been added to the compromise.
4	Whether any of the accused is an absconder/proclaimed person(s).	The accused persons have not been declared as Proclaimed Offender in this case as per statement of Investigation Officer.
5	Whether after the registration of the FIR, any offence was added or deleted during investigation.	As per the statement of the Investigating Officer, no offence was added or deleted during investigation.
6	Whether investigation is pending against any of the accused or any accused has been declared as innocent.	As per the statement of the Investigating Officer, no accused was declared innocent in the present case.
7	Name(s) of the complainant and injured/aggrieved.	There is only one complainant/injured in present FIR namely Prabhjot Kaur.
8	Whether all injured/aggrieved have appeared and their statements recorded.	In the present FIR, there is only one complainant/injured namely Prabhjot Kaur and she has appeared and recorded her statement.
9	Whether any I.O. appeared or not and if appeared, his/her statement be recorded or not.	In the present FIR, two Investigating officer namely Harpinder Kaur and SI Gurjant Singh has appeared and they recorded their statement.
10	The stage at which the trial is pending.	The challan has been presented in this FIR, the charge has been framed and the case was adjourned to 18.05.2026 for prosecution evidence.
11	Satisfaction of the Trial Court that the compromise is genuine, voluntary and out of free will of the parties.	Yes, the compromise is genuine and has been entered into by the parties voluntarily, without any pressure or coercion of any kind.
12	Whether any other criminal case or proceedings is pending against the accused.	As per statement of the Investigating Officer and accused, no other trial or criminal case is pending against accused.



CRM-M-20273-2026 (O&M)

4. Learned State counsel and learned counsel for respondent No.2 have not raised any dispute regarding the factum of compromise. Since the matter has been amicably resolved, continuation of the criminal proceedings would be an exercise in futility. The dispute which has its genesis in a matrimonial discord, warrants exercise of powers under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (B.N.S.S.), 2023.

5. Following principles of law laid down by the Full Bench Judgment of this Court in ***“Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another” 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*** and Hon’ble Supreme Court in ***“Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others” (2012) 10 SCC 303***, the petition is allowed.

6. FIR No.03 dated 23.02.2024 under Sections 498-A, 406, 420 IPC and Section 12(1)(b) of the Passport Act, 1967, Police Station NRI, District Sangrur and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are quashed qua the petitioners, on the basis of compromise dated 25.03.2026.

7. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

05.05.2026
HS.CHAUHAN

Whether Speaking/Reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No