



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210		CRM-M-19185-2026 (O&M)
		Date of decision: 22.04.2026
BALBIR SINGH		... Petitioner
	Versus	
STATE OF HARYANA		... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Fateh Saini, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Gautam Kaile, DAG, Haryana.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS, 2023, for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.0022, dated 02.02.2026, under Sections 190, 191(3), 115, 126(2), 351(3), 109 BNS and Sections 25/54/59 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Mullana, District Ambala.

2. On 08.04.2026, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that neither the petitioner was present at the spot nor any overt act has been attributed to him, the complainant himself admits that he had left the spot. There is a delay of 5 hours in lodging the FIR as also there is no evidence to connect him with the firing having taken place, at the hands of the co-accused Aman Saini, who is in custody. He is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. B.S. Saroha, DAG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State, while Mr. Sahil Choudhary, Advocate appears on behalf of the complainant and made their respective submission in opposition.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 15.04.2026. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482 (2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 22.04.2026.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Ram Karan affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner on 13.04.2026 and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 08.04.2026 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

22.04.2026

ashok

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No