

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH****230****CRM-M-19762-2026****Date of Decision: 20.04.2026****HARJOT SINGH****... PETITIONER****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB****... RESPONDENT****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present:- Mr. T.S.Chauhan, Advocate,
Mr. Karanpreet, Advocate,
Mr. Avninder Singh, Advocate and
Mr. Somnath Sood, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)

1. This petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking regular bail under Section 483 BNSS, 2023 in case FIR No.118 dated 14.07.2025 under Sections 109, 310(2), 311 and 341(2), 317(2) of BNS, 2023 registered at Police Station Machiwara, District Ludhiana.
2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner, along with his co-accused armed with sharp-edged weapons inflicted injuries upon the complainant. It is further the case of the prosecution that the accused threatened the complainant and his family; forcibly took away cash and a mobile phone from them.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and has not committed any offence. It is contended that no specific role has been attributed to the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submitted that although the complainant allegedly sustained injuries from a sharp-edged weapon, the same is not reflected in the Medico-Legal Report (MLR). Learned counsel also submits that the petitioner has been in custody since 14.07.2025 and, therefore, prays for the grant of regular bail.



4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. S.S.Nahar, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner. He has filed the custody certificate of the petitioner and the same is taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 09 months and 01 days.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is in custody for the last 09 months and 01 days and since the trial is yet to commence and is likely to take a considerable long time to conclude, therefore, this Court deems it appropriate to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial as the continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

9. However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail, the State/complainant would be at liberty to seek cancellation of his bail.

10. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

20.04.2026
renu

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No