



148

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19583-2026

Date of decision : 09.04.2026

Pappu Lal Chamar**.....Petitioner****versus****State of Punjab****..... Respondent****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ*********

Present :- Mr. Priyanshu Kamra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

*********RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)**

1. Prayer in the present petition is for quashing the order dated 13.02.2025 whereby the bail order of the petitioner has been cancelled and the bail bonds/surety bonds were forfeited to the State in case bearing No.NDPS 314/2022 (registration date 26.10.2022) titled as '*State of Punjab Vs. Pappu Lal Chamar etc.*' arising out of FIR No.247 dated 31.08.2021, under Section 18(b) registered at Police Station Lambi, which is pending before Additional Sessions Judge, Judge Special Court Sri Muktsar Sahib.

2. It has been contended by counsel for the petitioner that the challan was presented on 26.10.2022, however, petitioner appeared before the trial Court initially but subsequently, due to noting down of wrong dates, he could not appear. He has submitted that the petitioner was arrested in Rajasthan in FIR No.77 dated 27.02.2023, under Sections 8, 15 and 29 of the NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Sadar Chittorgarh and was granted bail in the said case on 21.07.2025. He has submitted that



in the meantime vide order dated 13.02.2025, bail order of the petitioner in the present case was cancelled and bail/surety bonds were forfeited to the State and he was ordered to be summoned through non-bailable warrants. He has submitted that absence of the petitioner was neither intentional nor willful. He has further submitted that now petitioner is ready and willing to join the proceedings and abide by the terms and conditions of bail.

3. Notice of motion to official respondent only.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Raj Karan Singh, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

5. Learned State counsel has opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner and has stated that the trial Court has rightly issued non-bailable warrants against the petitioner who remained absent on several dates without any valid reason.

6. After hearing counsel for the petitioner and perusing the record, it is apparent that the petitioner remained absent allegedly on account of noting down of wrong date. As submitted, the petitioner was in custody in some another FIR, thus, he could not appear and ultimately, on 13.02.2025, non-bailable warrants against the petitioner were issued in the present case. Now the petitioner is keen to join the proceedings. So keeping in view the abovesaid facts, the present petition is disposed of and the order dated 13.02.2025 is *set aside* subject to payment of Rs.25,000/- as costs to be paid by the petitioner to the **Spinal Rehab Centre, Sector-28-A, Chandigarh** within a period of 07 days from today. In case the petitioner appears before the trial Court and files appropriate application along with receipt of costs before the trial Court within a period of 10

days from today, the trial Court will admit him to bail subject to its satisfaction during the pendency of trial and proceed with the trial as per law. Petitioner will have protection from arrest for a period of 10 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

7. Needless to say that in case the petitioner fails to comply with the abovesaid direction, he will have no benefit of this order and the orders under challenge would stand automatically revived and the present petition shall be deemed to have been dismissed.
8. Disposed of in above terms.

09.04.2026
m. sharma

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No