



CRWP-3923-2026

-1-

**178 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-3923-2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 06.04.2026

Muskan and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Amit Khari, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and not to interfere in their peaceful life at the behest of private respondents and other relatives.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that both the petitioners are major, however, petitioner No.2 is not of marriageable age and are living in live-in relationship against the wishes of private respondents. He further submits that the petitioners apprehend danger from respondents no.4 to 9. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 04.04.2026 (Annexure P-6) to respondent no.2 for granting protection to their life and liberty.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. AG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a

direction to respondent no.2- Superintendent of Police, Panipat to decide the representation (Annexure P-6) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.

6. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of their live-in relationship.

06.04.2026			(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
sharmila			JUDGE
	Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
	Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No