

2026.PHHC:058942



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.109

**CWP-10699-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision: 20.04.2026**

HC Ashok Kumar

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA

Present: Mr. G.S. Gopera, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravi Partap Singh, DAG, Haryana.

DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA, J. (Oral)

1. In the present writ petition, the petitioner has challenged the order dated 29.8.2016 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.4, vide which, the punishment of stoppage of one increment with permanent effect was imposed for remaining absent from duty for 08 days. The petitioner has also challenged the order dated 10.09.2025 (Annexure P-5) passed by respondent No.3, vide which, the respondent has dismissed the appeal dated 22.8.2025 (Annexure P-2) filed by the petitioner on the ground of limitation.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner was acquitted in an FIR No.531 dated 08.11.2015, under Sections 323, 506 & 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Meham, District Rohtak vide order dated 17.07.2019. Thereafter, appeal was filed against the abovesaid order of acquittal before the appellate Court, which was dismissed on 18.04.2025. He submits that on dismissal of the abovesaid appeal, the

petitioner filed appeal before the respondents against the order dated 29.08.2016 (Annexure P-1). As such, the appeal filed by the petitioner could not have been dismissed on the ground of limitation.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents-State submits that there is no infirmity and illegality in the order passed by the competent authority order dated 10.09.2025 (Annexure P-5). He further submits that if the petitioner is aggrieved against the abovesaid order, there is a remedy of filing revision before the competent authority.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the present writ petition may be disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file revision petition before the competent authority as per the law.

5. In view of the abovesaid submission, the present writ petition is disposed of with liberty as prayed for.

6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)
JUDGE

20.04.2026
Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No