

2026.PHHC.054707



**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

111

CRWP-3975-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision: 09.04.2026

Mohinder Singh**...Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab and others****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA**


Present:- Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the official respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members at the hands of respondents No. 6 to 10 and to take action on his representation dated 10.03.2026 (Annexure P-8).

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has recently filed a representation on 10.03.2026 (Annexure P-8) before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala raising his grievances as mentioned in the present petition but the same has not yet been considered and no action has been taken thereon. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala to decide the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioner and take necessary action thereon.

3. Notice of motion.



4. Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Senior DAG, Punjab, has advance notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course. Let a complete set of the paper-book be supplied to her during the course of the day.
5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioner, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No. 2 to decide the aforesaid representation dated 10.03.2026 (Annexure P-8) of the petitioner within a period of one month from today, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. Needless to say, if any threat is found to the petitioner or his family members, the official respondents would provide them adequate security in order to protect their life and liberty.

09.04.2026

Waseem Ansari

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No