

**176 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2026.PHHC.052106



CRWP-3912-2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 06.04.2026

**MANINDAR JOT KAUR @ MANINDARJAUT KAUR AND
ANOTHER ... PETITIONERS**

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS ... RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Rimple Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents, who are averse to their live in relationship.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 has been in a live in relationship with petitioner No.2 from past few days. Respondents No.4 and 5 have been extending threats of dire consequences to the petitioners as they are averse to their live in relationship. While drawing the attention of this Court to Annexure P-4 dated 02.04.2026, learned counsel has submitted that the matter was reported to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Police District Batala, District Gurdaspur seeking his help, however, it had been in vain. Learned counsel submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Police District Batala, District Gurdaspur to look into the aforesaid representation and take appropriate steps at the

earliest.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Surinderjit Singh Nahar, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

5. In view of the above, without commenting upon the status of live in relationship of the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Police District Batala, District Gurdaspur to look into the aforesaid representation qua the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

06.04.2026

Janki

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No