



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

129

**CRM-M-19166-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision : 21.05.2026**

SANTOKH SINGH AND OTHERS

..... PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA PARTAP SINGH

**Present : Mr. Shiv K. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners No.1 & 2.**

**Mr. B.S. Jatana, Advocate
for the petitioner No.3.**

Mr. Eklavya Darshi, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

SURYA PARTAP SINGH. J.(Oral)

CRM-22576-2026

This is an application seeking for placing on record certain documents. For the reasons stated in the application, the same is hereby allowed and the documents annexed with the application are taken on record.

Main case

1. The instant petition has been filed by the petitioners for directions to the respondent No.1 to 4 not to harass them and their family members in connection with the frivolous complaints made by respondents No.5 to 9.

2. The learned counsel representing the petitioners submits that the petitioners has filed representation dated 27.04.2026 (Annexure P-15) before the respondents No.2 & 3. The learned counsel prays for directions to the respondent No.3 to decide the above-mentioned representation within the stipulated time.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 4 only.

4. Since advance notice has already been served upon the State, Mr. Eklavya Darshi, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4-State. Hence, the service of notice upon the State is hereby dispensed with.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that a direction for deciding the representation of the petitioners (Annexure P-15) be given to the respondent No.3 and that the instant petition be disposed of accordingly.

6. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the present petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.-3-the Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur to decide the representation of the petitioners (Annexure P-15), by passing a speaking order within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of copy of instant order. It is however clarified that no finding is being returned by this Court, with regard to

merits of the contents of representation. The respondent No.3 shall be at liberty to make an independent assessment with regard to contents of representation of the petitioners, and take an independent decision at his own level.

(SURYA PARTAP SINGH)
JUDGE

21.05.2026

<i>vipin</i>	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether Reportable	:	No