

**CRM-M-19491-2026 (O&M)**

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****(211)****CRM-M-19491-2026 (O&M)**

Date of Decision:-12.05.2026

Harish @ Kaka

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present: Mr. Ranwant Singh Sangha, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Japjot Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. D.S. Dhanoa, Advocate for the complainant.

ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed seeking grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.74 dated 13.06.2025 (Annexure P-1), under Sections 109, 117(2), 74, 76, 79, 3(5) and 61(2) of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station IT City, SAS Nagar, Punjab.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the only role attributed to the petitioner is that he was sitting in the car along with the main accused-Jagdeep, who drove the car and ran over the legs of Akhilesh and admittedly there is some property dispute between Jagdeep and the employer of Akhilesh. It is further submitted that the *inter se* dispute between the Jagdeep and the employer of Akhilesh has been resolved for which a petition *qua* quashing of the FIR on the basis of compromise has



already been filed and hence, prays for grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

3. Learned State counsel has also filed the custody certificate of the petitioner in Court today, which is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner has been in custody for a period of 10 months and 25 days as on 11.05.2026.

4. Learned State counsel has submitted that the petitioner has a chequered history and is a habitual offender. Learned State counsel further submits that the petitioner has 16 cases and he claims to be the kingpin of the area for his notorious activities, however, could not deny the fact that in various cases, the petitioner has been either acquitted or discharged but emphasized that he was convicted in three FIRs and is facing trial in few cases.

5. On a specific query with regard to the allegations against the petitioner in the present FIR, learned State counsel could not deny the fact that the only role attributed to him is that he was sitting in the car with the main accused Jagdeep.

6. In light of the above, and considering the allegations against the petitioner and the fact that the interested parties had already compromised the matter, coupled with the fact that the petitioner has been in custody for the last 10 months and 25 days, and further keeping in view the fact that the trial is likely to take considerable time, therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody. Accordingly, the petitioner is held entitled to the concession of regular bail.

7. Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition stands allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail if



not required in any other case on furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned. The petitioner shall, however, be released on the following conditions:

- i The petitioner shall declare his ordinary place of residence and the mobile number used by him.
- ii The petitioner will not switch off his mobile and in case of any technical glitch, he has to give an alternate number, which will be available in his absence.
- iii The petitioner will mark his presence before the SHO concerned, after every 15 days and in case the SHO refuses to mark his presence, he is permitted to make an application before the Illaqa Magistrate, concerned.
- iv The petitioner will not leave the country without the prior permission of the Court, for which he will submit the copy of his passport also. However, in case the petitioner does not possess a passport, then he shall file an undertaking to the said effect before being released.

The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as imposed in addition to Section 483 of BNSS, 2023.

8. However, nothing stated above shall be construed as a final expression of opinion on the merits of the case and this order shall not be considered as parity *qua* any other co-accused in any manner whatsoever.

9. It is further made clear that, in case, the petitioner is found involved in any such activity once again, the State is at liberty to promptly move an appropriate application for cancellation of bail detailing out the

circumstances and violation of conditions of bail and no leniency shall be shown to him and no concession shall be granted.

10 Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

12.05.2026
parul

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:-	Yes/No