



CRM-M-18863-2026 (O&M)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

271

CRM-M-18863-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision: 07.05.2026

LOVEJIT SINGH ALIAS LOVEPREET**...PETITIONER****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER****...RESPONDENTS****CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL**

Present: Mr. Nitin Narula, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Akash Yadav, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Shalini Singh Nagpal, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No.342 dated 30.12.2025 under Sections 75, 351(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (B.N.S.), 2023, Police Station Ajnala, District Amritsar and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 11.03.2026.

2. On 08.04.2026, this Court directed the parties to appear before learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording of their statements with regard to compromise dated 11.03.2026.

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, parties appeared before learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Ajnala on 13.04.2026. Statement of Investigating Officer ASI Baljit Singh was recorded on 27.04.2026. Learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Ajnala has submitted his report recording satisfaction that all the parties have entered into a valid compromise without any influence or coercion. Point-wise report as under has been submitted:



CRM-M-18863-2026 (O&M)

- “I) Only one accused arraigned in the FIR.*
- II) There is only one accused and the same has appeared before the Court and made his statement.*
- III) During investigation, no additional accused has been added.*
- IV) Neither proclamation proceedings ever initiated against the accused nor the accused declared absconder/proclaimed person in the present case.*
- V) After the registration of the FIR no offence was added or deleted during investigation.*
- VI) Investigation against the accused is pending and accused has not been declared as innocent.*
- VII) Name of the complainant is Sarabjit Kaur.*
- VIII) There is only one injured/aggrieved and the same has appeared and her statement recorded.*
- IX) Yes, Investigating Officer has appeared and his statement has been recorded.*
- X) Challan has not been presented in this case and investigation is still pending.*
- XI) As per statements of parties, it appears that compromise effected between the complainant and the accused, is genuine, voluntary, and out of free will of the parties.*
- XII) As per report of Investigating Officer no other criminal case or proceedings is pending against the accused.”*

4. Learned State counsel and learned counsel for respondent No.2 have not raised any dispute regarding the factum of compromise. Since the matter has been amicably resolved, continuation of the criminal proceedings would be an exercise in futility.

5. Following principles of law laid down by the Full Bench Judgment of this Court in **“Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another” 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052** and Hon’ble Supreme Court in **“Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others” (2012) 10 SCC 303**, the petition is allowed.



CRM-M-18863-2026 (O&M)

6. FIR No.342 dated 30.12.2025 under Sections 75, 351(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (B.N.S.), 2023, Police Station Ajnala, Amritsar and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are quashed qua the petitioner, on the basis of compromise dated 11.03.2026.
7. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

07.05.2026
HS.CHAUHAN

Whether Speaking/Reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No