



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

129

CRM-M-19426-2026

Date of decision : 09.04.2026

SUBHASH CHANDER

..... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA PARTAP SINGH

**Present : Mr. Rahul Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.**

SURYA PARTAP SINGH. J.(Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed by the petitioner for directions to the respondent No.2 to enforce the order dated 26.02.2026 w.r.t. FIR No.159 dated 05.07.2024, under Sections 420, 120-B, 467, 468, 471 of Indian Penal Code, Police Station City Panipat, District Panipat.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Since advance notice has already been served upon the State, Mr. Ramender Singh Chauhan, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents-State. Hence, the service of notice upon the State is hereby dispensed with.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that although the representation has not been moved before any authority, yet the petitioner would be satisfied if the present petition is treated as representation of the

petitioner and a direction for disposal of the same is given to the respondent No.2- the Superintendent of Police, Panipat, in a time bound manner.

5. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts and circumstances, in the interest of justice, the present petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2 to treat the copy of present petition as a representation of the petitioner, and decide the same by passing a speaking order within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of copy of instant order. It is however clarified that no finding is being returned, by this Court, with regard to merits of the contents of the petition/representation. The respondent No.2 shall be at liberty to make an independent assessment with regard to contents of the representation, and take an independent decision at his own level.

(SURYA PARTAP SINGH)
JUDGE

09.04.2026
vipin

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	No