



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

125

CWP-10598-2026

Date of decision : 08.04.2026

Nagina and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. J.S. Mundi, Advocate for the petitioners.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioners under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of mandamus, directing the respondents to grant the statutory pay scale to the petitioners as per 2009 Rules (Annexure P-7) i.e. Rs.5910-20200 + G.P. of Rs.2000/- later on increased to Rs.10300-34800 + G.P. of Rs.3200/-, vide letter dated 23.12.2011 (Annexure P-8), w.e.f. their entry into service along with arrears and interest @ 12% per annum in view of the judgment passed by this Court in ***CWP-15896-2023 titled as 'Saurabh Sharma and others Vs. State of Punjab and another' decided on 13.09.2024.*** Further, seeking a direction to the respondents to take into account the statutory pay scale of Rs.5910-20200 + G.P. of Rs.2000/- later on increased to Rs.10300-34800 + G.P. of Rs.3200/- with future pay revision and to grant the petitioners allowances/full pay along with other emoluments during probation period from the date of their appointment in view of judgment passed by this Court in ***CWP-17064-2017 titled as 'Ajay Kumar Singla and others Vs. State of Punjab and others' decided on 16.02.2023.***

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that for redressal of their grievances, the petitioners have also submitted representation dated 05.12.2025 (Annexure P-14) to the respondents which is still pending consideration. He further submits that at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied, if appropriate directions are



CWP-10598-2026

-2-

issued to the respondents to consider and decide the said representation, by passing a speaking order, in a time bound manner.

- 3. Notice of motion.
- 4. Mr. Kanav Singla, A.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State. He has no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.
- 5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.
- 6. Without going into the merits of the case at this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 to consider and decide representation dated 05.12.2025 (Annexure P-14) submitted by the petitioners expeditiously, by passing a speaking order after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, preferably within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereon shall be conveyed to the petitioners.

08.04.2026
kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No