



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

292

CRM-M-19679-2025 (O & M)

Date of decision: 19.01.2026

Karamjit Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Prateek Pandit, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jasjit Singh, DAG, Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C., for quashing the order dated 23.07.2024, Annexure P-5, passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Kapurthala, vide which the petitioner has been summoned through non-bailable warrants with notice to surety and in case FIR No.146 dated 05.12.2020, under Sections 379-B, 411, 201 of IPC (offences under Sections 411, 201 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Subhanpur, District Kapurthala.

2. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner, 22 year old, was granted regular bail, vide order dated 31.05.2021, Annexure P-3 whereafter continued to appear before the trial Court, but for 23.07.2024 i.e. after the summer vacations, due to mis-communication with the counsel, the petitioner and other two co-accused namely Charanjit Singh and Joginder Singh also could not appear, whereupon his bail was cancelled on the same date and surety bonds were forfeited. He is ready

and willing to join the proceedings on or before the next date of hearing and prays for grant of one opportunity for him to surrender before the trial Court, even if the same is subject to costs.

3. Learned State counsel submits that the non-bailable warrant has been rightly issued against the petitioner, as he had absented from the proceedings.

4. Heard.

5. The very purpose of issuance of warrants of arrest, is to compel and secure the presence of the accused to face trial and establish the rule of law, as also to ensure finalization of the proceedings.

6. This Court in CRM-M-36490-2022, titled as **Major Singh vs. State of Punjab**, decided on 15.09.2022, CRM-M-38277-2022, **Surjit Singh vs. State of Punjab**, dated 26.08.2022 and CRM-M-39000-2022, titled as **Raghav vs. State of Punjab**, decided on 09.09.2022, had set aside the orders of non-bailable warrants.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, judgments referred to hereinabove, the readiness and willingness of the petitioner to surrender and join the proceedings, which was incumbent upon him for the culmination of the same, the present petition deserves to be and is allowed, in the interest of justice, so as to facilitate expediting the trial and for taking it to its logical end.

8. As a consequence of the aforesaid, the impugned order dated 23.07.2024, Annexure P-5, is set aside and the petitioner is directed to surrender before the learned trial Court on or before 04.02.2026 and deposit Rs.10,000/- as costs with Chandi Kusht Ashram, Sector 47-B,

Chandigarh, whereupon, he be released on the same bail/surety bonds as had been furnished by him at the time of granting bail. He is also directed to furnish an undertaking by way of an affidavit that he will appear on each and every date of hearing before the learned trial Court, unless specifically exempted by the Court. He shall not leave the country without prior permission of the Court. The trial Court may impose any other condition that it may deem appropriate in the facts and circumstances of the present case.

9. The present petition is accordingly allowed.

10. Before parting with this order, it is made abundantly clear that in case the petitioner does not adhere to the aforesaid, the present petition shall be deemed to have been dismissed without any reference to this Court.

19.01.2026
dinesh

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No