



CR-3246-2026

- 1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

121

CR-3246-2026**Date of decision: 19.05.2026****AMIT KUMAR****....Petitioner****Versus****NEHA BHATIA AND OTHERS****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR**

Present : Mr. Suneet Pal Singh Aulakh, Advocate for the petitioner.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR. J.(Oral)

1. Present revision petition has been filed for issuance of directions to the learned trial Court (Civil Judge Senior Division, Ludhiana) to decide the application dated 12.11.2025 (Annexure P-8) filed by the petitioner for clarification of order dated 03.11.2025 (Annexure P-7).
2. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the material placed on the file.
3. In view of the nature of the order proposed to be passed, issuance of notice to the respondents is dispensed with, as it would only delay the proceedings and cause unnecessary expenditure to the respondents.
4. A perusal of the record shows that the petitioner had moved an application dated 12.11.2025 (Annexure P-8) before the trial Court for clarification of order dated 03.11.2025 (Annexure P-7), which is being adjourned unnecessarily since then and lastly the matter was fixed for 04.04.2026 for consideration on the said application. By way of said application, applicant is seeking refund of the stamp duty as well in addition to the Court fees, as parties



CR-3246-2026

- 2-

had entered into a compromise. As to whether, petitioner is entitled to refund of the stamp duty or not is only required to be decided and instead of adjourning the matter, the trial Court should decide the same expeditiously.

5. In view of the above, present petition stands disposed of and trial Court is directed to decide the application as per merits of the case expeditiously, without granting unnecessary adjournments.

19.05.2026
amandeep

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No