



**225 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**FAO No. 5275 of 2003 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 07.04.2026**

KULDEEP KAUR AND OTHERS

.....APPELLANTS

Vs.

M/S DOABA TRANSPORT AND OTHERSRESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: None for the appellants.

Mr. Neeraj Khanna, Advocate, for
Mr. Ravinder Arora, Advocate,
for respondent No. 2-insurance company.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J.(ORAL)

1. There is no representation on behalf of the appellants. The situation was the same on the last date of hearing. As per the report of the Registry, Mr. Sudhir Paruthi, counsel for the appellants, has been informed; however, no one has put in appearance on their behalf.

2. Keeping in view the above, it appears that the appellants are not interested in pursuing the present appeal.

3. Consequently, the appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution. However, liberty is granted to the appellants to move an appropriate application for revival of the appeal, if so advised, in accordance with law.

4. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

APRIL 07, 2026

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)

nitin

JUDGE

Whether Speaking

Yes

Whether Reportable

No