



128

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.19704 of 2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 19.03.2026

Jabir

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Imtiyaz Hussain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed praying for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.103, dated 21.08.2024, under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station Cyber Crime Nuh, District Nuh.

2. Succinctly, the facts of the case are that FIR in the present case was got registered on the statement of complainant, namely, Hanif. It was alleged that the complainant learned about the labour card under the Haryana Government Scheme and on account of the same, in the year, 2020, the complainant went to the CSC operator of his village and got his application submitted for the labour card and shortly, his labour card was issued. It was alleged that the complainant met Arbaaz, who told him about



the government schemes and for getting the benefits under the government scheme through the labour card, Arbaaz had taken the labour card, Pan card, Aadhar card of the complainant as well as Pan card and Aadha card of his wife. In the year, 2022, Arbaaz called the complainant and his wife to Nuh and at Nuh Bus Stand, he introduced them to Jabir (petitioner). They took thumb impressions of the complainant and his wife. It was alleged that when his villagers got started receiving money under the Kanyadaan scheme through their labour cards, the complainant enquired from Arbaaz and he told the complainant that his card was cancelled. The complainant checked on the government portal and found that an amount of Rs.2,15,000/- was received under his labor card and a death certificate was also uploaded on the government portal. Then, the complainant found that Arbaaz and Jabir (petitioner) in conspiracy with each other created a fake death certificate showing the complainant dead and got the said amount sanctioned. Thus, request was made to take legal action against the accused. On the basis of the same, the FIR was registered. On registration of the FIR, the investigation commenced. Resultantly, the petitioner was arrested on 09.01.2025. On completion of the investigation, the challan was presented and on framing of the charges, the trial commenced. The petitioner approached the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Nuh, praying for the grant of regular bail, however, after hearing both the sides and finding no merit in the same, the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Nuh declined the bail application filed by the petitioner vide order dated 25.03.2025. Hence, being aggrieved, the petitioner is before this Court, praying for the grant of regular bail by way of filing the present petition.



However, it is apposite to mention here that the petitioner was granted the concession of interim bail by this Court vide order dated 29.05.2025 due to his medical condition and till date, he is on interim bail.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He has submitted that the petitioner was granted the concession of interim bail by this Court vide order dated 29.05.2025 due to his medical condition as he is regularly undergoing Dialysis. He has submitted that after having been granted the interim bail, the petitioner has never misused the concession of bail. He has thus submitted that in the facts and circumstances of the case, the interim bail granted to the petitioner deserves to be made absolute.

4. Learned counsel for the State, at the outset, has submitted that all the prosecution witnesses already stand examined. He has also affirmed the fact that the medical condition of the petitioner remains to be precarious. He, however, has submitted that there is nothing on the record to show that the petitioner has misused the concession of interim bail granted to him by this Court vide order dated 29.05.2025 as there is no complaint *qua* the same.

5. Heard.

6. In view of the above, this Court finds that there being no adverse record concluding that the petitioner has misused the concession of interim bail, thus, in the facts and circumstances of the present case, the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 29.05.2025 is made absolute to his already furnished surety/bail bonds before the trial Court and the same shall be treated as alive till the final disposal of the trial. The



petitioner is directed to keep on appearing before the trial Court as and when required to do so and also to comply with the direction of the trial Court given by it during the pendency of the trial. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case. Pending application, bearing CRM-22352-2025 stands disposed of.

19.03.2026		(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
<i>rittu</i>		JUDGE
	Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
	Whether reportable :	Yes/No