



CRM-M-42103-2017

1

237

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-42103-2017

Date of decision: 12.05.2026

MAHESH SHARMA

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present: Mr. Karan Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Dr. Malvika Singh, DAG, Haryana.

H.S. GREWAL, J (Oral):

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 4 to conduct free, fair and impartial investigation in FIR No.0035, dated 06.02.2017, under Sections 406, 419, 420 and 427 of IPC, registered at Police Station Chhachhrauli, District Yamuna Nagar (Annexure P-1) and to submit a final investigation report in the court under Section 173(8) of Cr.P.C. as even after expiry of a substantial period no investigation has been carried out in the present case and further the respondent Nos.2 and 3 be directed to decide the representation dated 07.06.2017 (Annexure P-3) and take appropriate action as per law.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondent No.10 introduced the petitioner to enter into an agreement for construction work by falsely representing respondent No.6 Harsh Bhalla as Director of



CRM-M-42103-2017

2

HBS Construction Company Pvt. Ltd. It is contended that the accused persons violated the terms of the agreement and carried out defective construction work, causing huge financial loss to the petitioner. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner suffered a loss of approximately Rs.1 crore on account of faulty construction and repair work. On the complaint of the petitioner, FIR No.0035 dated 06.02.2017 under Sections 406, 419, 420 and 427 IPC was registered at Police Station Chhachhrauli, District Yamuna Nagar.

3. Learned State counsel submits that in this case cancellation report has since been filed and the petitioner is free to approach the appropriate Court.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record with their able assistance.

5. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, since the cancellation report has been presented in the aforesaid FIR, therefore, no further direction is required to be passed in the present case. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of with the liberty to petitioner to avail appropriate remedy, if available, in accordance with law.

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

12.05.2026
monika

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes /No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes /No