

104

2026:PHHC:057867



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10468-2026

Date of Decision: 16.04.2026

Kavita

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present: Mr. Rashmeet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ashish Kapoor, Advocate and
Mr. M.S. Rana, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

HARSH BUNGER J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present writ petition, filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, *inter alia*, is for issuance of an appropriate writ or directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to issue certificate of non-availability of suitable land for setting up retail outlet at Jhajjar Farrukhnagar Road on SH:15 A.

2. In pursuance of the advance copy(ies) of paper book having already been supplied, Ms. Upasana Dhawan, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana and Mr. Ashish Kapoor, Advocate, appear on behalf of respondent

Nos.1 to 3-State and respondent No. 4-Indian Oil Corporation, respectively.

3. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to submit a detailed representation before respondent No.4 – Indian Oil Corporation Limited, Gurgaon, for consideration of her case regarding relocation of the retail outlet dealership, as she is unable to offer any suitable land within the advertised stretch, as the office of Directorate of Town and Country Planning Haryana vide letter dated 18.01.2025 (Annexure P-7) has already declined the permission for grant of Change of Land Use in the advertised stretch.

3.1 Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that relying upon the aforesaid letter dated 18.01.2025 (Annexure P-7), even learned Deputy Commissioner has rejected issuance of No Objection Certificate (NOC) for the advertised stretch.

4. Learned counsel for respondent No. 4-Indian Oil Corporation has not opposed the aforesaid course being adopted by the petitioner. He further submits that in case any such representation is submitted by the petitioner, within a period of two weeks from today, the same would be considered and appropriately decided by the competent authority, within a period of six weeks from the date when such representation is submitted by the petitioner.

5. Keeping in view the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty, as prayed for.

5.1 It goes without saying that in case, the petitioner submits any representation (as noticed above) before the concerned/competent authority within a period of two weeks from today, then the same shall be considered

and finally decided by the concerned authorities, within a period of six weeks from the date, when such representation is submitted by the petitioner.

6. All the pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

16.04.2026
Pd

(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE

- 1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
- 2. Whether reportable : Yes/No