



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

118

CWP-10451-2026

Date of decision : 07.04.2026

Charanjit Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

Guru Nank Dev University, Amritsar and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Sameer Sachdeva, Advocate with
Ms. Lishika Mehta, Advocate for the petitioner.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus, directing the respondents to reckon the service rendered by the petitioner in respondent No.3-College as Lecturer/Lecturer Senior Scale and Lecturer Selection Grade from 12.07.1995 to 04.07.2007 i.e. before respondent No.3-College had been taken over by respondent No.1-University, as a qualifying service for grant of pensionary benefits under the Old Pension Scheme, by quashing/nullifying the Clause (g) of Para 4 of the Memorandum of Understanding dated 07.07.2007 (Annexure P-4) entered into between respondents No.1 & 2 to the extent of excluding the continuous uninterrupted regular service rendered by the petitioner in respondent No.3 for the above period. Further, direction may be issued to respondent No.1-University to consider the petitioner for the grant of pension under Old Pension Scheme from the date of her retirement i.e. 30.06.2025 and to pay the arrears along with interest @ 9% per annum.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for redressal

**CWP-10451-2026****-2-**

of her grievances, the petitioner has also submitted representation dated 02.03.2016 (Annexure P-6) to the respondents which is still pending consideration. He further submits that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied, if appropriate directions are issued to the respondents to consider and decide the said representation, by passing a speaking order, in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. M.K. Dogra, Advocate has put in appearance and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents No.1 to 3. Mr. Surya Kumar, A.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.4 & 5. They have no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.

6. Without going into the merits of the case at this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to consider and decide representation dated 02.03.2016 (Annexure P-6) submitted by the petitioner expeditiously, by passing a speaking order after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereon shall be conveyed to the petitioner.

07.04.2026
kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No