



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210/1

**CWP-11443-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 25.02.2026**

Harshit Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate and
Mr. Virender Gill, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Teevar Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. Learned State counsel, at the outset, submits that petitioner has already been selected against other advertisement and is in service, thus, petition has rendered infructuous.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner expressed his inability to controvert aforesaid statement, however, seeks liberty to move an appropriate application, if cause survives.
3. In the backdrop, petition stands dismissed as having been rendered infructuous with liberty to petitioner to move an appropriate application within three months, if cause survives.
4. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

**(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE**

25.02.2026

Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No