

Date of decision: 07.04.2026

ABHISHEK

...PETITIONER

V/S

...RESPONDENTS

NEELAM KUMARI

...PETITIONER

V/S

...RESPONDENTS

Present: Mr. Ajiteshwar Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Anup Singh, AAG, Punjab.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (ORAL)

1. This order shall dispose of the above-mentioned petitions as both are arising from the same FIR. For the sake of brevity, facts are borrowed from *CRM-M-18708-2026* titled as *Abhishek vs. State of Punjab and another.*

2. Through the instant petitions filed under Section 482 Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail to the
petitioners in case bearing FIR No.33 dated 02.03.2026 registered under
Sections 115(2), 118(1), 333, 324(4), 351, 191(3) and 190 of Bharatiya Nyaya



Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNS') at Police Station Nurmahal, Jalandhar Rural, District Jalandhar.

3. Allegations against the present petitioners are that on 25.02.2026 at about 11:30 PM, petitioner-Abhishek armed with *khanda*, petitioner-Neelam Kumari armed with stick and other co-accused armed with *kirch*, sticks and baseball bats entered into the house of the complainant by breaking the gate and attacked the complainant and his sister.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners contended that present matter emanates from a matrimonial dispute between the relatives of the parties i.e. between sister of the complainant and maternal uncle of the petitioner-Abhishek and same has been converted into a criminal case only to coerce a compromise in the matrimonial dispute between their relatives; injuries attributed the petitioners are simple in nature; the petitioners are having clean and clear antecedents and are not involved in any other case, except the present one; the offences are triable by Magistrate and maximum punishment is upto 07 years. The petitioners are ready to join the investigation and co-operate with the investigating agency. Hence, learned counsel prayed for relief of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Learned State counsel appeared on advance notice and opposed the prayer made by the petitioners and submitted that the petitioners along with other co-accused entered into the house of the complainant and caused him injuries. However, he did not dispute that the injuries are with blunt weapon and are simple in nature and there is a matrimonial dispute between the relatives of parties.

6. Heard.



7. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and contentions of learned counsel for the parties, the allegation against the petitioners are that of forcibly entering house of complainant and inflicting injuries to the complainant and his sister, which have been admitted by learned State counsel are simple in nature and have been caused by blunt weapon; it has been admitted by learned State counsel that there is a matrimonial dispute between the relatives of the parties and learned counsel for the petitioners has alleged that due to the same, present FIR has been lodged to coerce a compromise in the matrimonial dispute; the petitioners are having clean and clear antecedents and are not involved in any other case, except the present one; the offences are triable by Magistrate and maximum punishment is upto 07 years, hence, the present petitions are allowed and the petitioners are directed to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so. In the event of their arrest, the petitioners shall be admitted to bail on furnishing of bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of investigating/arresting officer and shall also abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS (*erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.*).

8. Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed to be expression of an opinion by this Court on merits of the case.

9. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.

April 07, 2026
manisha

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

- (i) Whether speaking/reasoned
- (ii) Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No