

CWP- 10465-2026

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

123

CWP-10465-2026

Date of decision: 07.04.2026

Vikash

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents


CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Narayan Prasad Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. Present civil writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari* to quash the order/ letter dated 19.02.2026 having memo No. 71/Ch-22/HPGCL/Rectt-289/vol-1 dated 19.02.2026 (Annexure P-31) by which claim of employment of the petitioner has been constructed to be relinquished which is against the facts. Further prayer has been made for issuing the writ in the nature of *mandamus* to direct the respondents to give appointment as per policy dated 14.02.2012(Annexure P-2) and further as per Judgment /order dated 26.11.2015 (Annexure P-3) in case **Dharmendra Singh versus State of Haryana, CWP-6505 of 2013** by considering the representation dated 07.02.2026 (Annexure P-22) & documents/affidavits



CWP- 10465-2026

-2-


submitted by the petitioner as mentioned in the petition (Annexures P-1, P-4 to P-11, P-12 to 21) and further at priority as other outsee of land get employment under policy of state.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the Government of Haryana introduced a policy offering public appointment to the land oustees whose land has been acquired for setting up thermal power plant in a Village Khedar, District Hissar. Total 30 kanals of the land belonging to the family of the petitioner was acquired, which is more than two acres. The petitioner submitted an affidavit and no objection of his father, uncle and legal heirs of another uncle as discernible from Annexures P-16 to P-21 along with representation dated 07.02.2026 (Annexure P-22). Respondent No.3 passed the impugned order dated 19.02.2026 (Annexure P-31) in a most cryptic and mechanical manner without adverting to the claim made by the petitioner and one line order has been passed.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, Advocate, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3. He is not in a position to controvert the fact that a cryptic order (Annexure P-31) has been passed in a mechanical manner and submits that a fresh reasoned order would be passed after due consideration of the representation dated 07.02.2026 (Annexure P-22).

5. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3, present petition is disposed of and respondent No.2 is directed to

**CWP- 10465-2026****-3-**

consider and decide the representation dated 07.02.2026 (Annexure P-22) by passing a well reasoned and speaking order, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

6. Further, the decision taken on the representation dated 07.02.2026 (Annexure P-22) shall be conveyed to the petitioner.

7. Needless to say, if the petitioner is found entitled to the relief sought, the same be granted to him forthwith by the competent authority.

[HARPREET SINGH BRAR]
JUDGE

07.04.2026
monika

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No